Optical, thermal, electrical, damage, and phase-matching properties of lithium selenoindate
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Lithium selenoindate (LiInSe2) is a new nonlinear chalcogenide biaxial crystal, related to LiInS2 and transparent from 0.54 to 10 μm at the 50% level (10 mm thickness), which has been successfully grown in large sizes and with good optical quality. We report on what we believe to be new physical properties that are relevant for laser and nonlinear optical applications and summarize all relevant characteristics, both from the literature and as measured in the present work. With respect to AgGaS2(e2) ternary chalcopyrite materials, LiInSe2 displays a nearly isotropic thermal expansion behavior with three- to five-times-larger thermal conductivities associated with high optical damage thresholds, and low intensity-dependent absorption, allowing direct high-power downconversion from the near-infrared, especially 1064 nm, to the deep mid-infrared.

1. INTRODUCTION

To date, only a few suitable nonlinear crystals combining a transparency extending into the mid-infrared (mid-IR) range above ~5 μm (the upper limit of oxide materials) and large-enough birefringence to permit phase-matching over their transparency ranges are available. The binary and ternary birefringent noncentrosymmetric crystals now in use in this spectral region include the chalcopyrite semiconductors AgGaS2 (AGS), AgGaSe2 (AGSe), ZnGeP2 (ZGP) and CdGeAs2 (CGA), the defect chalcopyrite HgGa2S4 (HGS), GaSe, CdSe, and Tl3AsSe3 (TAS) [1]. Some other crystals like proustite (Ag3SbS3) and pyrargyrite (Ag3SbS3) have already lost their importance because they were completely replaced with the more technologically AGS while the growth technology of HgS was never developed [2]. The list of the non-oxide birefringent inorganic crystals ever used will be full if the elemental Se and Te are added: Their linear losses are, however, so high that at present they could be interesting (especially Te) only for diagnostic purposes of short pulses at longer wavelengths [2]. All these mid-IR crystals are uniaxial. All of them that are now available commercially or quasi-commercially (from laboratories) have not only their specific advantages but also some drawbacks: AGS and AGSe have low residual absorptions but poor thermal conductivities and anisotropic thermal expansions with different signs; ZGP has excellent nonlinearity and thermal conductivity, but multi-phonon and residual absorption limit its transparency from both sides so that pump wavelengths should lie above 2 μm which corresponds to less than 1/3 of its bandgap; CGA possesses extremely high nonlinearity but exhibits also absorption features, and low temperatures are required to avoid the residual losses; HGS has a very high nonlinear figure of merit but its growth technology is very difficult and only small sizes are available; GaSe has large nonlinearity and birefringence but it is a soft cleaving compound, with very low damage threshold; CdSe is transparent up to 18 μm but its birefringence and nonlinearity are quite modest; TAS exhibits rather low losses in its transparency range but its thermal conductivity is extremely low; and finally Te is a unique nonlinear material having in mind its extended wavelength range and superior nonlinear susceptibility, but as already mentioned its applicability is limited by the high linear losses. Finally, a new chalcopyrite still in its development stage, CdSiP2 (CSP), should be mentioned because it not only showed some very attractive properties but already found some applications [3].

Two approaches to develop alternative solutions and avoid the above mentioned drawbacks in specific applications include the manufacturing of quasi-phase-matched orientation patterned structures with highly nonlinear but isotropic semiconductors, e.g., GaAs which has a mature technology [4], and the doping or mixing of nonlinear...
crystals to produce more complex ternary compounds like GaSe\(_2\) [5] or quaternary compounds like Ag\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_x\)Se\(_2\), Cd\(_{1-x}\)Hg\(_x\)S\(_2\), and Ag\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)Ge\(_2\) [6,7]. The problems with them are the limited thickness in the former case and the homogeneity of the composition in the latter.

In the last decade, considerable progress has been made with two orthorhombic ternary chalcogenides, LiInS\(_2\) (LIS) and LiInSe\(_2\) (LISe), whose growth technology was improved to such an extent that it was possible to perform extensive characterization and even realize some applications [8]. Both not only proved to be useful for the mid-IR spectral range but also possess some unique properties which will definitely guarantee them a place among the other mid-IR nonlinear crystals if some technological issues are developed to an end. Moreover, their attractive features already stimulated the study of further crystals belonging to the same class like LiGaS\(_2\), LiGaSe\(_2\), and LiGaTe\(_2\) which are now also under development [9–11].

The optical, vibrational, thermal, electrical, damage, and phase-matching properties of the sulfide compound LIS were summarized in a review paper [12]. The present paper is a continuation of this work, devoted to the closely related LISe and focusing on its optical, thermal, electrical, damage, and phase-matching properties, as well applications in various downconversion nonlinear optical processes.

2. GROWTH, COMPOSITION, AND STRUCTURE

LISe is a congruently melting compound and can be grown from the melt using the three elements as starting materials. In the first report on LISe by Negran et al. [13], the crystalline samples grown by directional freezing in graphite crucibles were deep red in color. This work identified the symmetry class as mm2. Kamijo and Kuriyama grew single crystals of LISe of about \(6\times10\) mm \(\times20\) mm, again deep red in color, also by directional solidification with a temperature gradient of \(4\) °C/cm at a cooling rate of \(2\) °C/h [14]. They determined a melting temperature of \(804\) °C for LISe and estimated the lattice constants.

A yellow phase of LISe with the same structure was mentioned for the first time in [15] where the photoluminescence properties were compared to those of the red phase. Yellow-brownish LISe crystals as large as \(\phi18\) mm \(\times50–60\) mm. They determined melting temperature of \(920\) °C for LISe and reported also the lattice constants. Finally, the isostructural mixed compound LiInS\(_2\), which can be regarded as a solid solution LiIn(S\(_{1-x}\)Se\(_x\))\(_2\) for \(x=0.5\), was also successfully grown by the same method achieving similar sizes [20,21].

We use a seeded Bridgman–Stockbarger process in a vertical two-zone furnace with counterpressure to grow large size single-crystal ingots of LISe. Details on the growth procedure can be found elsewhere [22]. In principle, the synthesis and growth of LISe follow the same procedure as that for growing chalcopyrites, except for some refinements related to the volatility of the elements and the chemical reactivity of Li with the container walls.

The purity of the starting materials is 99.999% (In, Se) and 99.9% (Li). Se is additionally purified by sublimation in vacuum while the metals are subjected to repeated zone melting and directional crystallization. Since the most volatile component, Se, has a high partial pressure at the temperature of the LISe pyrosynthesis, the latter is carried out in a two-zone furnace to avoid the container explosion. The temperature of the “hot” zone, in which the crucible with the reaction mixture is placed, is \(50–100\) °C higher than the melting temperature of the crystal. Our updated value for the melting temperature of LISe is \(915\) °C \(\pm\) \(5\) °C, which lies between the values specified by others [14,16,19,23].

The temperature of the “cold” zone is selected in such a way that the vapor pressure does not exceed \(2\) atm. The synthesized compound is placed into a glass-carbon ampoule which is in turn mounted inside a sealed silica ampoule of larger diameter filled with dry Ar (0.5–1 atm). The inner ampoule is necessary to prevent the outer quartz tube from reaction with Li. Such a double-wall growth ampoule containing the polycrystalline synthesis charge and a seed is placed in the furnace so that the charge and the upper portion of the seed are melted. A temperature gradient of \(10\) °C/cm–\(15\) °C/cm is sufficient to maintain a stable growth interface in the furnace. Growth is accomplished by moving the melt interface slowly from the seed. The ampoule is shifted at a rate of \(1–10\) mm/day. Initially we used (010) and (001) oriented seeds. However, since the thermal expansion of LISe is almost isotropic, in contrast to AGS and AGSe, the present state of the art enables the use of any seed orientation in order to grow sufficiently large crystals along the required phase-matching directions. Single-crystal ingots obtained can be as large as 30 mm in diameter and 100 mm in length (Fig. 1). They are free of extended defects as inclusions, twins, cracks, etc.

In order to improve the synthesis conditions for stoichiometric growth, the LISe evaporation process was studied using a unique thermo-microscopic technique [22,24,25]. It became clear that possible deviations from the stoichiometric composition of LISe may occur both in the synthesis stage and during the crystal growth [26,27]. Thus, the starting composition of the charge must compensate for the loss of Li and Se, the vapor of which interacts with the silica reactor. During growth, the specific character of the
A detailed structural analysis of LiSe was performed by Hönle et al. [31]. The lattice parameters reported were for single crystals of brown color. The structure of LiSe is formed by LiSe₄ and InSe₄ tetrahedrons, and the Se²⁻ ions are arranged in hexagonal packing with tetragonal and octahedral cavities (tetrapores and octapores; Fig. 2). The $\beta$-NaFeO₂ structure (space group $Pna_2_1$ or $C_{2v}$) is less dense than the chalcopyrite structures of AGS and AGSe due to the presence of these empty cavities in the unit cell volume. Only half of the tetrapores are occupied by Li and In while all octapores are empty. The spontaneous polarization is due to the fact that in each bipyramid formed by two adjacent tetrapores only one is occupied by a cation. The unit cell parameters of LiSe are roughly $a = 7.2$ Å, $b = 8.4$ Å, and $c = 6.8$ Å [28]. The exact lattice parameters depend on the coloration, i.e., on the growth and annealing conditions and the exact stoichiometry, but the structures of all LiSe crystals are the same [28].

No phase transformation has been detected in LiSe up to the melting point [31] but thermal decomposition in vacuum was observed starting from 750°C–790°C [17,32]. Aging of the surface layer of both red and yellow phase LiSe was studied in [17] and attributed to reactions involving lithium. Structural phase transitions under pressure at room and elevated temperatures were investigated in [33–38].

A comparison of the effective charges of the Li–Se and In–Se bonds [39] suggested that the electrons in the Li–Se bond are more tightly bound to the anion than in the In–Se bond. Analyzing spectroscopic data it was possible to conclude that the Li–Se bonds in LiSe are essentially ionic in nature and the structural properties (stability of the $\beta$-NaFeO₂ structure) can be understood in terms of the average bond parameters [40].

The electronic structure of LiSe was first studied in [41]. Several first principles calculations appeared more recently [42–45] in which the effect on some optical properties (through the dielectric constant) is also analyzed.
3. BANDGAP, TRANSMISSION, AND VIBRATIONAL PROPERTIES

For red color LISe samples, the first estimation of the energy bandgap from optical transmission was 1.88 eV at room temperature [14]. A value of 2 eV is found in [23]. Several other works dealt with the bandgap at low and room temperatures. Similar results were obtained from photoluminescence [15] and electrical resistivity [46] measurements and were confirmed from electronic structure calculations [41]. A slightly lower value (1.6 eV) was obtained from diffuse reflectance [47] measurements. Further optical absorption measurements indicated indirect nature of the bandgap and weak polarization dependence [48]. The updated bandgap value of 2.03 eV measured with yellow color LISe was attributed to indirect or pseudo-direct transitions [33], but for a thickness of 4 µm a direct absorption edge near 2.9 eV was also identified in this work.

Obviously the experimental results depend on the color of the samples used, i.e., on the point defects present. Transmission measurements with yellow color LISe indicated a direct transition [16,17,49,50] with a bandgap very close to our updated value of 2.86 eV at room temperature [27,28]. The polarization dichroism is again weakly pronounced [28]. First principles calculations still lead to lower values of this direct bandgap [43–45], e.g., 2.63 eV in [45].

Transmission spectra of LISe were measured both for yellow and red color samples [19,27–29,51,52]. They revealed independence of the IR cutoff edge from the color. The long-wave limit for the clear transparency does not extend significantly further into the mid-IR in comparison to LIS [27,28,51]. Aging of the surfaces can strongly affect the crystal transmission, especially in the visible [17,49].

Absorption coefficient of less than 0.05 cm−1 was specified near 1 µm for some special samples [19] but loss coefficients of <0.1 cm−1 in the clear transmission range, and 0.55 and 1.2 cm−1 at 9.55 and 10.6 µm, respectively, were also given in the literature [51,52]. The variation of the transmission at different points of the sample surface did not exceed 2% [52,53]. The transmission of LiInSSe extends to roughly 13.7 µm at the "zero"-level and also shows the characteristic dip at 10 µm [20,21], like for LISe (see Fig. 3).

In Fig. 3 we present new polarized measurements of the transmission with state of the art samples of LISe. A sufficient thickness (10.5 mm) is chosen in order to visualize the potential for real applications. Here and further on, X, Y, and Z denote the principal optic axes which will be identified in Section 6. The thin lines show the limits set by the Fresnel reflections (with consideration of multiple reflections). The measurements indicate that the 50% transmission level extends from 0.54 to 10 µm while the clear transparency range is roughly from 1 to 8 µm. Nevertheless, thin samples of LISe, e.g., as required for femtosecond frequency conversion, could be used also for longer wavelengths, up to ~12 µm. The absorption losses vanish around 6 µm (see Fig. 3). At 1.064 µm they are 2%/cm. This is much less than the 6.5%/cm–20.8%/cm derived previously from calorimetric measurements with older samples [54–56] which are contradictory and obviously affected by surface aging and absorption.

Infrared (IR) reflectivity and Raman spectra with polarized light were recorded for yellow phase LISe at room and low temperatures in [17,50,57]. With the complementary information from IR and Raman spectroscopy, the observed 33 IR active and the 12 exclusively Raman active modes could be assigned to their corresponding symmetry classes; tabulations can be found in [17,57]. No difference was observed between the red and yellow phases [17]. As expected from reduced mass considerations the optical mode frequencies of LISe are shifted to lower frequencies in comparison to LIS [12] due to the substitution of sulfur by selenium. The dominant line of the total symmetrical A1 mode lies at 268 cm−1 for LIS and at 161.5 cm−1 for LISe [57]. The ratio of 1.66 of the frequencies of these lines corresponds to the square root (1.57) of the ratio of the atomic masses of selenium and sulfur. From absorption features of the transmission spectra and the maximum phonon energy of LISe (375 cm−1), it can be concluded that the onset of absorption near 10 µm is due to three-phonon absorption and the cutoff edge is set by two-phonon absorption. The fact that the IR-cut cutoff edge of LISe is not substantially extended in comparison to LIS can be explained by the role of the Li–S(e) sublattice vibrations while in AGS and AGSe, in the presence of the much heavier Ag ion, the high-frequency vibrations are related to the Ga–S(e) sublattice. Theoretical calculations of the lattice dynamics in LISe can be found in [44], and Raman spectra recorded at high pressure were analyzed in [37,38].
4. THERMAL AND THERMO-OPTIC PROPERTIES

The thermal properties of a new nonlinear optical material are crucial in assessing its potential in real nonlinear conversion devices pumped by high-power continuous-wave (CW) or pulsed lasers. The performance of devices based on mid-IR chalcogenides is often limited by deleterious thermal effects (lensing and expansion). The thermal figure of merit of a material can be defined as $(dn/dT)/K$, where $dn/dT$ is the thermo-optic index variation and $K$ is the thermal conductivity. The larger is this quantity, the more severe are the thermal limitations. The figure of merit enters the expression for the focal length of the thermal lens in a nonlinear crystal, $f = \pi n_0^2 K/[alP(dn/dT)\ln 2]$, where $a$ is the absorption coefficient at the pump wavelength, $l$ is the crystal length, $P$ is the pump power, and $n_0$ is the pump beam radius. A second thermal quantity $t_D = w_0C_p/4K$, where $C_p$ is the specific heat of the material, gives the characteristic time of heat diffusion outside the pumped volume. According to the definition of the heat capacity $C_p$, the increase in crystal energy at heating is $\Delta E = C_p \Delta T$, where $\Delta T$ is the temperature increment due to the heat absorption. For a crystal with a large heat capacity, when it absorbs light energy and increases its thermal energy, the temperature gradient is small. This means that heat capacity is one of the important factors that influence the damage threshold of a crystal. The larger is the diffusion time $t_D$, the stronger are the thermal lensing effects. Hence it is important to know $C_p$, $K$, and $dn/dT$. In addition the thermo-optic coefficients are needed to predict the temperature dependence of the phase-matching performance in $\chi^{(2)}$ interactions.

A. Specific Heat at Constant Pressure

The general theoretical expression for $C_p(T)$ of anharmonic solids is

$$C_p(T) = 12R \left[ F(x_D) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k T^{4k} \right],$$

(1)

where $R$ is the molar gas constant, $x_D = T_D/T$ ($T_D$ is the Debye temperature), and $F(x_D)$ is the Debye function describing the temperature dependence in the harmonic lattice vibration approximation,

$$F(x_D) = \frac{3}{x_D} \int_{0}^{x_D} x^4 e^x dx \left( e^x - 1 \right)^2.$$  

(2)

The Debye temperature can be estimated independently from the melting temperatures and lattice parameters using the modified Lindemann formulas applied to the case of $A^BIII_c^VI$ compounds [58]: $T_D = 238 K$ according to our estimation or 240 K according to [59]. These $T_D$ values correspond to sufficiently low temperatures when only low-energy phonons are excited and the Debye model is valid. The high-temperature limit of the Debye temperature $T_D$ can be obtained from the maximum energy of the vibrational spectrum: $kT_D = h\omega_{\text{max}}$, where $k$ and $h$ are the Boltzmann and Planck constants, respectively, and $\omega_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum frequency of crystal vibrations and all possible vibrations are excited. Taking $\omega_{\text{max}}$ from Raman and/or IR reflectivity spectra as 360 cm$^{-1}$ (~0.045 eV) [57], one arrives at $T_D = 518 K$.

Two unoriented samples of LISH, which were 57.91 mg in weight (sample A) and 86.05 mg in weight (sample B), prepared as 1.2 mm high cylinders were cut from single crystals of yellow color for the specific heat measurements. Heat capacity measurements were performed with a differential scanning calorimeter DSC-204 Netzsch in the temperature range of 113–483 K. A single crystal of $A_2O_5$, 66.45 mg in weight, was used for the calibration as a reference sample. More details about the experimental procedure can be found in [60].

The measured heat capacity of LISH versus temperature in the 170–470 K range is shown in Fig. 4. The error is estimated to be 1–2.5 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, depending on sample and temperature. The experimental results fit the function

$$C_p = 114.02 - 5708 T^{-1} - 187 540 T^{-2},$$  

(3)

with $T$ in kelvin; the deviations are less than $\pm 0.35$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4). The harmonic contribution $c_{ph} = 12Rf(x_D)$ to $C_p(T)$ is also plotted in Fig. 4 and a cross point between $c_{ph}$ and $c_p$ occurs at 270 K similarly as in the case of LISH [60]. As can be seen from Fig. 4 our value of $C_p$ at room temperature (98.1±1.4 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) is in good agreement with previous results obtained using red polycrystalline LISH, 96±1 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [59,61], measurements that were performed between 200 and 550 K and between 2 and 300 K, respectively. We conclude that the heat capacity does not depend on the sample coloration contrary to the observations in [17] where the heat capacity of yellow LISH was estimated to be about 5% lower than that of red color LISH in the 400–510 K temperature range.

The value of $N$ and the absolute values of $c_k$ in Eq. (1) are a measure of the degree of lattice anharmonicity. $N = 4$ and 1 order of magnitude higher $c_k$ values in comparison to the $A^BIII_c^VI$ compounds [59] show that LiIn$_2c^VI$ compounds have much larger anharmonic contribution. This is a result of the specific nature of the Li−C$^VI$ bond, which—as already mentioned—is weaker than the In−C$^VI$ bond. It is worthwhile noting that due to the heavier atoms in its structure and the related larger $C_p$,
the LiSe crystal is expected to have lower temperature gradients under laser beam irradiation than LIS.

Gmelin and Höhnle [61], who studied the molar heat capacity at low temperatures from 2 to 300 K, found an excess heat below ~80 K and explained it as a two level Schottky anomaly with an energy splitting of $\Delta E = 0.006 \text{ eV}$ or $\Delta E/k = 71.4 \text{ K}$. They suggested that the Li atoms can reside in a double-well potential with some energy barrier between them. These two possible Li positions may correspond to different directions of the spontaneous polarization vector in the pyroelectric LiSe crystal, and the characteristic temperature (71.4 K) means that LiSe becomes ferroelectric only at yet lower temperatures ($T < 71.4 \text{ K}$). Ferroelectricity of LiSe is of great importance for the fabrication of periodic structures and the realization of quasi-phase-matching. However, attempts to reverse the spontaneous polarization by the application of electric field were unsuccessful at room temperature [13], while the possibility of an existing ferroelectric phase has been disproved above room temperature from the result of differential thermal analysis [14] and also down to 77 K from capacitance measurements of the dielectric constant [62].

### B. Thermal Conductivity

For thermal conductivity and expansion measurements we cut and polished three cylinders from red color LiSe with identical diameter and length, both equal to 5 mm, and with their axes parallel to one of the crystallographic (optical) axes. The thermal conductivity of LiSe was measured in the 293–343 K temperature range under the monotonic heating regime using a Ca-calorimeter [60,63]. The whole equipment was tested and calibrated with fused silica. The experimental error was estimated to be ±6%. The temperature dependence of the results obtained could be fitted by the linear expressions

$$K_x = 6.739 - 0.00668T, \quad K_y = 7.70 - 0.0101T, \quad K_z = 8.539 - 0.01037T,$$

where $T$ is in kelvin and the conductivity $K$ is in W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.

A typical temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity $K(T)$ for insulators exhibits a peak with its maximum located at about half the Debye temperature $T_D$ with $K \sim T^3$ and $K \sim T^{-1}$ at lower and higher temperatures, respectively [64]. The negative slopes of the $K(T)$ dependence in Eqs. (4) show that our measurements were carried out at temperatures exceeding the peak maximum ($T_D/2$). Taking into account that $T_D \approx 240 \text{ K}$ for LiSe [59] (see the previous subsection), the peak position is estimated to be at $T_{\text{max}} \approx 120 \text{ K}$, which is indeed below the experimental temperatures, 293–343 K. The values we obtained at room temperature (300 K), $K_x = 4.74 \pm 0.25 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $K_y = 4.68 \pm 0.25 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $K_z = 5.46 \pm 0.32 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, are roughly 25% lower than those known for LIS [12]; they are still, however, three to five times larger than for AGS and AGSe crystals [60,63]. The room temperature values were confirmed in an independent measurement at Cleveland Crystals [8], which gives us confidence in their reliability. We have, however, no explanation for the large discrepancy with the results in [20,52] where a value of $K = 1.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ can be found for LiSe.

In insulators, phonons are responsible for the transfer of the thermal motion energy. The lower maximum phonon energy which is related to the Li–Se vibrations explains the slightly lower thermal conductivity of LiSe in comparison to LIS where the lighter chalcogen (S) results in higher maximum phonon energies (see Section 3). The presence of the heavier metal (Ag) in AGS and AGSe explains their substantially lower thermal conductivities.

### C. Thermal Expansion

The anharmonicity of atom vibrations in the crystal lattice is the main reason for thermal expansion in solids. The linear expansion coefficients of LiSe, $\alpha = (1/dl/dT)$, were measured in the 300–450 K temperature range with the same samples as in the previous subsection using a thermo-mechanical analyzer TMA-202 Netzsch. Changes in the sample length were determined at temperature increments of +15 K and −15 K (heating and cooling at a scanning rate of 6 K/min). Each step of temperature change was followed by an isothermal interval of about 20 min to attain temperature equilibrium. The same experimental procedure was used for the measurements of a reference sample: an aluminum (Al) cylinder of 6 mm diameter and 5 mm height. Three measurement runs for each sample were carried out, with only one run at once. The measurement order of the samples was random to avoid any trend in the experimental results which are summarized in Table 1.

Our direct measurements can be compared to estimations based on the measurements of the LiSe lattice constants in the range 303–773 K [17], assuming no temperature dependence of $\alpha_y$, which seems to be justified having in mind the results in Table 1. The reported in [17] values $\alpha_x = 19.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $\alpha_y = 9.36 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$, and $\alpha_Z = 6.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ show slightly stronger anisotropy but are in reasonable agreement with our results.

The results of our measurements were fitted to a polynomial law $A + BT + CT^2$. The accuracy of the final values $\alpha(T)$ was estimated as the mean square deviation of experimental points from the fitting function in a temperature interval of 50 K. The uncertainties for $\alpha_y(T)$, $\alpha_x(T)$, and $\alpha_Z(T)$ include the uncertainty for the results in the Al reference sample measurements. The results of the polynomial fitting are

$$\alpha_x = 7.0 + 0.0463T - 36.7 \times 10^{-6}T^2,$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$\alpha_x$ (10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\alpha_y$ (10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\alpha_Z$ (10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>17.6±1.8</td>
<td>11.0±1.6</td>
<td>8.7±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>18.7±2.1</td>
<td>10.2±1.9</td>
<td>9.0±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>19.7±1.7</td>
<td>10.0±1.0</td>
<td>9.1±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>20.4±2.4</td>
<td>11.5±1.7</td>
<td>8.9±2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $T$ is measured in kelvin and $a_i$ in $10^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$.

The $a_i$ values measured for LISe at room temperature are comparable to those of LIS [60,63]. Although similar in absolute value to the uniaxial chalcopyrite compounds (AGS and AGSe; see [60,63]), in contrast to the latter, the expansion coefficients of LISe (like those of LIS) have the same sign along different crystallographic (optical) directions, which is not the case for the chalcopyrite structure. This property is of great importance for crystal growth and surface coating: it is easier to avoid ampoule cracking when growing crystals and to ensure good mechanical stability of dielectric coatings.

### D. Thermo-optic Coefficients

Two prisms with different orientations and optically polished faces were manufactured from yellow LISe for the measurement of the thermo-optic coefficients. Characteristic dimensions were 6 mm height and $\sim$9 mm side edges of the isosceles triangle in the prism base. The accuracy of orientation was $\sim0.3^\circ$. The apex angles of the prisms ($21.38^\circ$ and $21.72^\circ$) were chosen to optimize the precision of the index measurements assuming a nominal index of 2.3.

The refractive indices were measured at 27 wavelengths within the LISe transparency range (from 0.625 to 12 $\mu$m) using the conventional technique of minimum deviation angle. A beam from an incandescent lamp or from a globar was forwarded through a SPM2 prism monochromator for wavelength selection. The LISe prisms were positioned on the axis of a G5 goniometer inside a special copper block with an electric heater inside. The temperature was stabilized with an accuracy of 0.5$^\circ$C in the 20$^\circ$C–150$^\circ$C range. A combination of a photomultiplier, a Ge phototransistor, and a cooled HgCdTe detector permitted one to cover the spectral range from the visible to the mid-IR. The beam-shaping optics in our setup contained only reflective elements (systems of cylindrical concave mirrors) in order to minimize the wavelength dependence. The desired polarization was selected using a set of film or grid polarizers. The three refractive indices were measured with an accuracy of $\pm0.0001$ at five temperatures: 293 K (20$^\circ$C), 323 (50), 353 (80), 393 (120), and 423 K (150$^\circ$C). From the obtained data, the normalized thermo-optic coefficients $\beta=(1/n)dn/dT$, where $n$ is the index of refraction, were calculated.

The temperature dependence of the refractive indices for LISe is plotted in Fig. 5 for different polarization directions and for five wavelengths selected in the transparency range. One can see that the temperature dependence of $n(T)$ is linear at all five wavelengths shown, which means that for all cases the thermo-optic coefficients are almost independent of temperature. That is why $\beta_i(\lambda,T)=a_i(\lambda)$ can be assumed. Dispersion equations based on the last relation will be derived in Subsection 6.B.

At $\lambda \geq 3.0$ $\mu$m, where the thermo-optic coefficients of LISe are practically independent of wavelength, their values are 1.5–2 times higher than those of LIS [60,63]. The thermo-optic index variation $dn_i/dT$ for five different wavelengths in the LISe transparency range is given in Table 2. One can see that the thermo-optic effect in LISe is anisotropic: $dn_Y/dT$ is 1.5–2 times higher than $dn_X/dT$, and $dn_Z/dT$ has intermediate values. While being comparable to AGSe, $dn_\lambda/dT$ for LISe are two to three times smaller than the thermo-optic coefficients of AGS [60,63]. Using the obtained values for the thermal conductivity $K$ and the thermo-optic coefficients $\beta_i$ one can see that, for given $\alpha$, $l$, $P$, and $w_0$ values, the thermal lens effect in LISe is about ten times weaker than in AGS.

5. ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES

Three different plates of dimensions 5 $\text{mm} \times 5$ $\text{mm} \times 1$ $\text{mm}$, greenish in color, were used for the measurements of the electrical properties. Each of them was cut perpendicular to a crystallographic (optical) direction, respectively, along the $a(Y)$, $b(X)$, and $c(Z)$ axes, with the last one being the polar direction. Au electrodes were sputtered on the two opposite major faces. The samples were inserted in a homemade cell always connected to a membrane pump so that no humidity could disturb the experiment. The temperature was controlled using a Pt-resistor directly in thermal contact with the sample. It could be monitored between 80 and 450 K with an accuracy better than 0.1 K using a temperature controller LakeShore 340.

Most of the electrical measurements were performed using a dynamic method under a linear temperature variation (typically 1 or 0.5 K/min, or sometimes—for the pyroelectric coefficient determination—more quickly). Low rates were used in order to avoid temperature gradient within the sample. The direct current (dc) resistivity and the pyroelectric coefficient were deduced by recording the current versus temperature under a constant voltage/
zero bias with a Keithley 236 electrometer. The dielectric response was measured using a HP 4284 impedance analyzer, which can cover a frequency range from 20 Hz to 1 MHz, under a weak alternating current (ac) field (~0.01 kV/cm).

We did not perform electro-optic experiments on LiSe samples. Although in their early measurements Negran et al. [13] did not account for the piezoelectric contribution it can be expected from their results that the electro-optic coefficients of LiSe are 2.1–2.6 times larger than those of LIs [12].

A. Dielectric Constant

By measuring the sample capacitance and dielectric losses 

\[ \tan \delta = \varepsilon_{\text{loss}} / \varepsilon_1, \]

the dielectric constant 

\[ \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 - j \varepsilon_2 \]

can be deduced. The LiSe permittivity was found to be insensitive to the ac field amplitude and to the dc field strength. The LISe permittivity was found to be insensitive to the ac field amplitude and to the dc field strength.

Figure 6 displays \( \varepsilon \), for the three studied samples at a fixed frequency, as a function of temperature, the only parameter that affects the permittivity value. Within the experimental errors (about 5%, especially due to the electrode area determination), \( \varepsilon_X = \varepsilon_Y = 20.7 \pm 1 \) at room temperature (\( T = 300 \) K), whereas \( \varepsilon_Z \) is slightly lower (19.1±1). When increasing the temperature from 80 to 450 K, \( \varepsilon \) increases for the three directions nearly in a linear way at least up to 350 K as shown in Fig. 6 (\( \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_0 + \alpha_i T \), where \( i = X, Y, \) or \( Z \)). No anomaly, like a peak or a shoulder, which may be associated with a phase transition or a relaxation process, can be observed. The \( \alpha_X \) and \( \alpha_Y \) coefficients are almost equal, about \( \times 10^{-3} \) K \(^{-1} \), and \( \alpha_Z \) is slightly higher, \( \times 10^{-3} \) K \(^{-1} \). At higher temperature (\( T > 350 \) K), \( \varepsilon \) increases more rapidly, especially in the X direction; the deviation from the linear dependence observed at low temperatures increases for lower measuring frequencies. This is an indication that a conductivity term contributes to the measured dielectric constant for \( T > 350 \) K.

B. Electric Conductivity

The dielectric losses are lower than 0.001 up to 350 K (Fig. 7) but increase strongly for higher temperatures. They increase also when the measuring frequency decreases. For example, in the X direction (see Fig. 7), \( \tan \delta \) varies, at 450 K, from 7% at 100 kHz up to 53% at 10 kHz. The values measured along the Y and Z axes are nearly the same (about 1.7% at 450 K and 100 kHz) but much lower than along the X-axis, reflecting an anisotropic conductivity (\( \sigma_X > \sigma_Y, \sigma_Z \)). The ac conductivity can be defined as \( \sigma_{ac} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_2 \omega (\varepsilon_0 \) is the vacuum permittivity). The curve \( \sigma_{ac} \) versus \( 1/T \) in a semi-logarithmic scale (Fig. 7) displays a straight line, which means that the ac conductivity follows an Arrhenius law.

The fitted activation energy, in the X direction, is \( E_a = 0.74 \) eV. Moreover, no variation with frequency can be detected between 350 and 450 K. Usually, \( \sigma_{ac} \) is considered to be the sum of two terms: \( \sigma_{ac} = \sigma_{dc} + \sigma_{ac}, \) where the former term is the dc conductivity and comes from entities capable to travel all over the sample and the second one, which induces a frequency dependence, is due to ions jumping between equivalent crystallographic sites. One may thus conclude that the LiSe conductivity comes mainly from the dc term. It was directly determined by measuring the dc current under a weak dc bias (0.1 kV/cm). The thermal variation of the dc resistivity

\[ \rho = \frac{1}{\sigma} \]

was determined from the measurements of the dc resistivity at 10, 30, and 100 kHz

\[ \rho = \frac{1}{\sigma \omega} \]

and the values are plotted in Fig. 8.
known to be very sensitive to the sample stoichiometry [46], especially to the Li and Se vacancies. The high resistivity of our samples (\(>3 \times 10^{11} \, \Omega \, \text{cm}\)), whatever is their orientation, and the fact that an Arrhenius law describes the \(\rho(T)\) behavior down to room temperature (i.e., without a cusp indicating a change to an extrinsic regime [46]) are both evidence for the good chemical quality of the investigated samples.

### 6. Linear Optical and Thermo-Optic Dispersions

The linear optical and thermo-optic dispersion relations allow one to predict accurately the phase-matching directions and temperature tunability which is most important for a nonlinear optical material. The initial work of Negran et al. [13] contained only refractive index measurements at 633 nm. Similar to LIS [12], LInSe is negative biaxial with the correspondence XYZ = bac, and the two refractive indices \(n_Y\) and \(n_Z\) are very close. Our initial index measurements in the 0.5–11 \(\mu\text{m}\) range with yellow color LInSe were tabulated in [29] where the first one-pole Sellmeier equations with quadratic IR-terms were constructed. Additional refractive index measurements between 0.7 and 1.9 \(\mu\text{m}\) of yellow-greenish (as grown) and dark red (annealed) LInSe indicated that the index of refraction is the same within the experimental error [27]. Another similar fit for the 0.5–10 \(\mu\text{m}\) spectral range appeared in [51,66] but its origin is unknown. An alternative measurement of the refractive indices of red color LInSe from 0.633 to 10 \(\mu\text{m}\) was published in [19], together with two-pole Sellmeier equations, which were also reproduced elsewhere [52], and another index measurement with deep red LInSe was used to create a further Sellmeier set specified for the 0.5–12 \(\mu\text{m}\) spectral range and based again on two poles [20,21] (see also [67,68]), but it is unclear if a refinement with second-harmonic generation (SHG) experimental angles in the 9.2–9.6 \(\mu\text{m}\) spectral range (X-Z plane) was used for its derivation.

#### A. Sellmeier Equations at Room Temperature

Having in mind the different predictions of all the available sets of Sellmeier equations we decided to remeasure first the index of refraction of high crystal quality yellow LInSe using the technique of minimum angle deviation. The room temperature results in the 0.525–12 \(\mu\text{m}\) spectral range are included in Table 3.

Then, imposing that the IR poles match the In–Se phonon wavelengths [57], a starting (raw) set of Sellmeier equations was derived. The further refinement was based on narrowband CW difference-frequency generation (DFG) phase-matching data in the 5.9–8.1 \(\mu\text{m}\) range (a LInSe sample of greenish color cut at \(\varphi=55^\circ\) in the X-Y plane was used for these measurements; see Subsection 9.A), the signal wavelength (1537 nm) obtained at normal incidence in the optical parametric oscillator (OPO) experiment with 1064 nm pumping (\(\varphi=72^\circ\) crystal cut in the X-Y plane with a yellow color sample) [69], a SHG value of \(\varphi=70.39^\circ\) in the X-Y plane measured at 2.845 \(\mu\text{m}\) with femtosecond pulses and yellow LInSe [29], and SHG angles measured in the X-Z plane (\(\theta=17.62^\circ\) at 2.23 \(\mu\text{m}\), with femtosecond pulses and yellow LInSe [29] and \(\theta=30^\circ\)
Table 3. New Data on the Refractive Indices of LISe at 25°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$ (µm)</th>
<th>$n_x$</th>
<th>$n_y$</th>
<th>$n_z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>2.4808</td>
<td>2.5551</td>
<td>2.5554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.4513</td>
<td>2.5197</td>
<td>2.5216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.4081</td>
<td>2.4718</td>
<td>2.4723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.3787</td>
<td>2.4371</td>
<td>2.4388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.3567</td>
<td>2.4126</td>
<td>2.4143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.3399</td>
<td>2.3937</td>
<td>2.3954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.3266</td>
<td>2.3789</td>
<td>2.3807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.3193</td>
<td>2.3663</td>
<td>2.3714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.3113</td>
<td>2.3566</td>
<td>2.3623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2.3038</td>
<td>2.3484</td>
<td>2.3543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2973</td>
<td>2.3415</td>
<td>2.3477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.2876</td>
<td>2.3299</td>
<td>2.3372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.2776</td>
<td>2.3185</td>
<td>2.3261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.2681</td>
<td>2.3091</td>
<td>2.3153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.2608</td>
<td>2.3026</td>
<td>2.3079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2578</td>
<td>2.2987</td>
<td>2.3048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2557</td>
<td>2.2961</td>
<td>2.3022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2477</td>
<td>2.2877</td>
<td>2.2937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.2427</td>
<td>2.2822</td>
<td>2.2883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.2386</td>
<td>2.2775</td>
<td>2.2841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2343</td>
<td>2.2736</td>
<td>2.2796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.2295</td>
<td>2.2689</td>
<td>2.2742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.2231</td>
<td>2.2641</td>
<td>2.2683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.2163</td>
<td>2.2587</td>
<td>2.2609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2084</td>
<td>2.2511</td>
<td>2.2537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1999</td>
<td>2.2414</td>
<td>2.2435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.1879</td>
<td>2.2315</td>
<td>2.2332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above set of Sellmeier equations predicts no anomalous index crossing at shorter wavelengths down to 0.6 μm, which is a consequence of the fact that the two indices $n_x$ and $n_z$ are very close. Therefore no phase-matching can be expected for propagation directions in the vicinity of the X-principal axis.

The experimental DFG angles in the 6.23–6.81 μm range, obtained at normal incidence (see Table 4), and the OPO and SHG angles are reproduced with an accuracy of ±0.6° with this set of equations. A comparison with the other four available Sellmeier equations and the experimental data for SHG in the X-Y and X-Z principal planes is given in Fig. 11. In the X-Y plane the predictions of the new Sellmeier equations are closest to [20,21,29] at short wavelengths and to [19] at longer wavelengths. In the X-Z plane substantial differences are observed at longer fundamental wavelengths with strongest deviation from the predictions of [19,29].

B. Thermo-optic Dispersion Relations

A similar fitting procedure as for LIS [12] was used to obtain expressions for the wavelength dependence of the normalized thermo-optic coefficients of LISe, but in this case a first-order approximation in temperature was sufficient, and $\beta_3(\lambda,T)=a_1(\lambda)$ (see Subsection 4.D) is fitted to

Table 4. DFG Phase-Matching Data Obtained with LISe in the X-Y Plane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda_3$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_2$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\varphi_{exp}$ (deg)</th>
<th>$\varphi_{calc}$ (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.775 452.3</td>
<td>0.885 653.3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.4285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.771 045.7</td>
<td>0.878 958.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.4031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.766 477.3</td>
<td>0.872 379.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.4969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.761 290.0</td>
<td>0.864 790.1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.5524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.755 543.8</td>
<td>0.856 274.4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.5623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.750 395.8</td>
<td>0.848 997.4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.6954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.745 456.5</td>
<td>0.841 821.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.7408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.740 477.4</td>
<td>0.834 724.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.8325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.735 667.3</td>
<td>0.827 814.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.8919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.730 866.0</td>
<td>0.821 018.0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54.9864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.726 121.5</td>
<td>0.814 325.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55.0833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.721 516.3</td>
<td>0.807 767.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55.1435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.716 881.9</td>
<td>0.801 288.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55.2516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the following two-pole functional form using the measurements performed for all 27 wavelengths in the main part of the LiSe transparency range:  

\[ a_1(\lambda) = A_1 + \frac{A_3}{\lambda^2 - A_2} + \frac{A_5}{\lambda^2 - A_4}. \]  

(8)

The five fitting parameters are compiled in Table 5. The obtained fitting curves together with the experimental results for the three polarizations are shown in Fig. 12.

On the basis of the data obtained the temperature dependence of the phase-matching can be analyzed. According to the definition of \( \beta_i \), the index of refraction at a given temperature \( T \) will be given by

\[ \frac{n(\lambda, T)}{n(\lambda, T_0)} = \exp[a_1(\lambda)(T - T_0)] = 1 + a_1(\lambda)(T - T_0), \]  

(9)

where \( T_0 = 25^\circ \text{C} \) and \( n(\lambda, T_0) \) is given by Eqs. (7).

![Fig. 11. Calculated SHG phase-matching angles in the (a) X-Y and (b) X-Z principal planes of LiSe using the refined Sellmeier equations from the present work (solid lines) and older Sellmeier equations: [19], dashed-dotted lines; [20,21], dotted lines; [51,66], gray lines; and [29], dashed lines. The symbols correspond to experimental data (see text).](image)

![Fig. 12. Normalized thermo-optic coefficients measured in the transparency range of LiSe along the three principal optical axes: experimental points (symbols) and fits (curves).](image)

7. PHASE-MATCHING INVESTIGATIONS

A. Effective Nonlinearity and Hobden Classification

The phase-matching loci and the effective nonlinearity for LiSe will be analyzed in the XYZ-principal optic axis frame, but the tensor elements of the nonlinear susceptibility are defined traditionally, in accordance with ANSI/IEEE Std 176-1987 [70], in the abc-crystallographic frame, where \( c \) is the polar twofold axis. The chosen convention \( n_X < n_Y < n_Z \) in order to have the two optic axes in the X-Z principal plane affects therefore the expressions for the effective second-order nonlinearity \( d_{\text{eff}} \). The general form of the contracted \( d \)-tensor for the orthorhombic class mm2 reads

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & d_{15} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & d_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

(10)

For an arbitrary propagation direction assuming collinear interaction and neglecting the spatial walkoff effect analytical expressions for \( d_{\text{eff}} \) can be derived in our conventions from the general formulas presented by [71]

\[
d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{asf}} = 2d_{15} \sin \theta \cos \delta \cos \theta \sin \varphi \sin \delta - \cos \varphi \cos \delta \times (\cos \theta \sin \varphi \cos \delta + \cos \varphi \sin \delta) + 2d_{24} \sin \theta \cos \delta \cos \theta \cos \varphi \cos \delta - \sin \varphi \sin \delta \times (\cos \theta \cos \varphi \sin \delta + \sin \varphi \cos \delta) + d_{31} \sin \theta \sin \delta \cos \theta \sin \varphi \cos \delta + \cos \varphi \sin \delta \times d_{32} \sin \theta \sin \delta \cos \theta \cos \varphi \delta - \sin \varphi \sin \delta \times d_{33} \sin^3 \theta \cos^2 \delta \sin \delta,
\]  

(11)

Table 5. Fitting Parameters Describing the Thermal and Wavelength Dispersion of the Principal Thermo-optic Coefficients \( \beta_i(\lambda, T) = a_i(\lambda) \) of LiSe. The \( i \)-Label Denotes the Polarization Direction (\( i=X, Y, Z \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>( A_1 ) ((10^{-5} \text{ C}^{-1}))</th>
<th>( A_3 ) ((10^{-6} \text{ C}^{-1} \text{ m}^2))</th>
<th>( A_5 ) ((\text{C}^{-1} \text{ m}^2))</th>
<th>( A_2 ) ((\text{m}^2))</th>
<th>( A_4 ) ((\text{m}^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>2.783 71</td>
<td>5.4189</td>
<td>0.007 32</td>
<td>0.149 498</td>
<td>855.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>4.262 16</td>
<td>4.9903</td>
<td>0.013 97</td>
<td>0.182 457</td>
<td>1791.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>3.587 43</td>
<td>6.2562</td>
<td>0.008 73</td>
<td>0.161 387</td>
<td>807.974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for type-I and type-II phase-matching, respectively, where the superscripts “s” and “f” stand for the “slow” and “fast” eigenmodes and their sequence follows the usual convention $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3$ with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$. The angle $\delta$ whose introduction simplifies the expressions and which is determined from

\[
\tan 2\delta = \cos \theta \sin 2\varphi [\cotan^2 V_Z \sin^2 \theta + \sin^2 \varphi]^{-1} \quad (0 < 2\delta < \pi)
\]

(13)
defines the polarization directions of the slow and fast waves which are orthogonal to each other. It is the angle between the polarization direction of the slow wave and the plane of propagation containing the principal Z-axis.

In the principal planes the expressions for $d_{\text{eff}}$ [Eqs. (11) and (12)] are reduced to

\[
d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ooe}} = d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eoo}} = -(d_{24} \sin^2 \varphi + d_{15} \cos^2 \varphi) \quad (X-Y \text{ plane}),
\]

(14)

\[
d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eoo}} = d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eoe}} = -d_{24} \sin \theta \quad (Y-Z \text{ plane}),
\]

(15)

\[
d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eoe}} = d_{31} \sin \theta \quad (X-Z \text{ plane, } \theta < V_Z),
\]

(16)

\[
d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eoe}} = d_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eoo}} = -d_{15} \sin \theta \quad (X-Z \text{ plane, } \theta > V_Z),
\]

(17)

with superscripts “o” and “e” denoting the ordinary and extraordinary beams. LlSe behaves as an optically negative uniaxial crystal in the X-Y and X-Z (for $\theta < V_Z$) planes and as an optically positive uniaxial crystal in the Y-Z and X-Z (for $\theta > V_Z$) planes. Assuming the Kleinman symmetry condition to hold then $d_{15}=d_{31}$ and $d_{24}=d_{32}$.

The biaxial phase-matching loci for collinear SHG can be categorized using the classification of Hobden [72]. Our calculations based on the relatively simple transcendental equations derived in [73] predict that no phase-matched SHG is possible below 1860 nm. LlSe enters Hobden’s class 13 at 1860 nm, class 11 at 2078 nm, class 10 at 2672 nm, and class 9 at 3051 nm; then it goes back to class 10 at 7799 nm, to class 11 at 8259 nm, to class 13 at 10881 nm; and finally no SHG phase-matching is possible again above 11071 nm. These transitional fundamental wavelengths correspond to propagation directions along the Y- or along the Z-principal axes [noncritical phase-matching (NCPM)] either for the ss-f or the fs-f (=sf-f) polarization configurations.

Figure 13 illustrates the different classes for SHG in LlSe at several representative fundamental wavelengths. The surface of the unit sphere is projected onto the X-Z plane of the crystal. The direction of the wave vectors of the interacting waves for phase-matching as given by their interception with the surface of the unit sphere is plotted. The stereographic projection of the first octant is presented only but the loci in the other octants can be obtained by mirror reflections across the principal planes.

We do not present plots of the angle $\delta$ which can be easily calculated from Eq. (13). Its dispersive properties (determined by the angle $V_Z$) lead to slightly different values at the three interacting wavelengths but normally the effect of this on the conversion efficiency can be neglected. Qualitative arguments relating the spatial walk-off (magnitude and direction) to the topology depicted in Fig. 13 can be found in [74]. The calculation of the spatial walk-off for an arbitrary propagation direction is beyond the scope of this paper but several works provide suitable approaches to this aim [75–78]. Analytical approaches for the estimation of acceptance parameters based on the small-signal approximation in the general case of biaxial crystals can be found in [76,77]. We note here only that since the angle $V_Z$ for LlSe in the major part of the transparency range (Fig. 10) is not far from 90°, the dispersive properties and in particular the spectral acceptance are

![Figure 13. Stereographic projections of the SHG in the first octant of LlSe calculated for wavelengths representative of the Hobden classes. Type-I (ss-f) interaction (solid lines) and type-II (fs-f =sf-f) interaction (dashed lines).](image-url)
not expected to be substantially modified by propagation outside the principal planes. Figure 13 indicates that double solutions for the angles exist (e.g., for Hobden’s class 9) which is equivalent to the existence of points outside the principal planes where $\partial \phi / \partial \theta = 0$ holds. This means that NCPM in one direction can also occur outside the principal planes (e.g., at $\phi = 23.2^\circ$, $\theta \approx 70.3^\circ$ for ss-f type SHG at 5 μm).

B. SHG in Principal Planes

The SHG phase-matching directions in the principal planes are shown in the lower part of Fig. 14. The first and third panels (X-Y) and (X-Z) in the bottom part correspond to the plots in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. The transitional wavelengths enumerated above can be easily identified there. The SHG ranges where $d_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ are 2078–10851 nm for type-I (oo-e) phase-matching in the X-Z plane, 2672–8259 nm for type-II (ee-o) phase-matching in the X-Y plane, and 2672–3051 and 7799–8259 nm for type-II (oe-o) phase-matching in the Y-Z plane. We observe an interesting feature in this crystal: the SHG limits with $d_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ are larger for propagation outside the principal planes where type-I phase-matching down to 1860 nm and up to 11071 nm is possible. Outside the principal planes where type-I phase-matching is not expected to be substantially modified by propagation, NCPM can be used, e.g., to shorten the SHG lower wavelength limit (Fig. 13). A similar situation has been observed previously in KTP:OPO$_3$ (KTP) [79].

It is seen from Fig. 14 that in the Y-Z plane the type-II interaction is quasi-angle-noncritical which ensures a large acceptance angle and a small walkoff angle (compare Fig. 15). In contrast, type-I interaction in the X-Z plane and type-II interaction in the X-Y plane have regions of quasi-wavelength-NCPM ($\phi = \theta = 0$) centered at 5223 and 4919 nm, respectively (Fig. 14). Letting $\phi = \varphi$ or $\theta$, the walkoff angles in the upper panels of Fig. 15 are calculated using the simplified formula $\rho_i = [n_i^2(\Phi)]^{-1} \partial n_i / \partial \Phi$ valid for uniaxial crystals, where the subscript $i = 1, 2, 3$ is associated with wavelengths $\lambda_i (\lambda_3^{-1} = \lambda_1^{-1} + \lambda_2^{-1})$ and $n_i^2(\Phi)$ is the extraordinary index of refraction of the walking-off wave as given by the uniaxial analogy in the principal planes. We preserved its sign which is in accordance with the corresponding phase-matching angles, i.e., a positive value of the walk-off means that the Poynting vector is at an angle larger than the phase-matching angle, and vice versa. Note that the walk-off is very similar for the two branches of the solution for phase-matching in all three principal planes. In the X-Y plane these branches and the two different walkoff parameters (we have here two extra wavelengths) are almost indistinguishable. The maximum walk-off amounts to $\rho_3 = 1.09^\circ$ in the X-Z plane for SHG at $\approx 3.39$ μm.

The acceptance angle is evaluated from the phase velocity mismatch $\Delta k(\Phi)$ due to an angular deviation $\phi = \varphi = \varphi_{\text{PM}}$ of the wave vectors around the nominal phase-matched direction $\Phi_{\text{PM}}$. A Taylor expansion of $\Delta k$ leads to

$$\Delta k(\Phi) = \gamma_{\text{CPM}} \delta \Phi + \gamma_{\text{NCPM}} (\delta \Phi)^2 + \cdots, \quad (18)$$

where $\gamma_{\text{CPM}} = \left[ \frac{\partial (\Delta k)}{\partial \Phi} \right]_{\phi = 0, \theta = 0}$ vanishes for NCPM (i.e., for $\phi = 0, 90^\circ$) and $\gamma_{\text{NCPM}} = (1/2) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 (\Delta k)}{\partial \Phi^2} \right]_{\phi = \varphi_{\text{PM}}}$. The acceptance angle, defined as the bandwidth at full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the sinc$^2(\Delta k l/2)$ phase-matching function, is given by $\Delta \Phi = 2.784 / \gamma_{\text{CPM}}$ for critical phase-matching, while for NCPM it is $\Delta \Phi = 2.784 / \gamma_{\text{NCPM}}^{1/2}$. The lower panels of Fig. 15 display the acceptance angles (for a length $l = 1$ cm) in the three principal planes. The acceptance curves, computed with only $\gamma_{\text{CPM}}$ in expansion (18), are interrupted near noncriticality at maximum values corresponding to the ones calculated for $l = 1$ cm using $\gamma_{\text{CPM}}$ only. Although that type of presentation in Fig. 15 is not very accurate in these limits it permits the results to be presented as scalable with respect to the crystal...
length \( l \) (simultaneous consideration of both derivatives would not allow this).

The chosen presentation of the inverse group velocity mismatch in Fig. 14 is equivalent to the spectral acceptance \( \Delta f \) but contains the sign as additional information. In the simplest cases of type-I SHG or degenerate DFG we have, e.g., \( \Delta f = 0.886/|\Delta_{31}| \), and the gain bandwidth in optical parametric amplification assuming a narrowband pump wave at \( \lambda_3 \) is inversely proportional to \( |\Delta_{31}| = 1/v_2 - 1/v_1 \). The two parameters \( \Delta_{31} \) and \( \Delta_{32} \) vanish at 5223 nm in the X-Z plane which means large spectral acceptance for SHG of short pulses where the second derivative of the wave vector mismatch comes into play \[20,21\]. The situation is different for type-II interaction in the X-Y plane: here \( \Delta_{32} = -\Delta_{31} \) at 4919 nm, at 3701 nm \( \Delta_{32} \) vanishes, and at 6328 nm \( \Delta_{31} \) vanishes, but in none of these cases an extremum of the spectral acceptance occurs because in type-II SHG of short pulses all three waves should be considered as broad band.

C. Sum- and Difference-Frequency Mixing

Type-I phase-matching in the X-Z plane for non-degenerate three-wave interactions (sum- and difference-frequency mixing as well as optical parametric generation, amplification, and oscillation) is presented in Fig. 16(a) where two branches of the solution can be seen. The whole transparency range of LIISe can be covered for \( 0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 40^\circ \) in the X-Z plane but \( d_{\text{eff}} \) increases with the phase-matching angle \( \theta \). Note that \( d_{\text{eff}} = 0 \) in the noncritical (\( \theta = 0^\circ \)) configuration and that the situation \( \theta > V_2 \) [see Eq. (15)] is never reached. At larger angles \( \theta \) we observe a retracing behavior in the left branch, e.g., in the case of the OPO one and the same pump wavelength \( \lambda_3 \) corresponds to two pairs \( (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \) of signal and idler wavelengths. In this region the spectral acceptance is very large. Thus for \( \theta = 45^\circ \) [Fig. 16(a)], \( \lambda_1 \approx 9.64 \mu \text{m}, \lambda_2 \approx 1.94 \mu \text{m}, \) and \( \lambda_3 \approx 1.615 \mu \text{m} \) all three group velocities are very close: at this point the absolute values of all the GVM parameters do not exceed 20 fs/\( \mu \text{m} \). This means that this phase-matching configuration is especially suitable for frequency conversion of femtosecond pulses. In optical parametric amplifiers (OPAs) and OPOs this advantage can be utilized, however, only in combination with seeding for control of the spectral bandwidth.

In the regions near the degeneracy points (SHG points) we have on the other hand \( \Delta_{31} = 0 \), but the wave at \( \lambda_3 \) can have in general a different group velocity. Such a regime is attractive for broadband parametric amplification in the field of a narrowband pump pulse as in the case of chirped pulse optical parametric amplification. Increasing the phase-matching angle (curve for \( \theta = 45^\circ \) in Fig. 16) the two branches merge into a closed contour and we approach the point where SHG phase-matching only for a single wavelength is possible (see Fig. 14) and all three group velocities are again very close, but the tunability in that case is very limited.

The curves for type-II phase-matching [Fig. 16(b)] have a completely different shape. The two branches of the solution are represented by curves of opposite curvatures which can cross at two points where phase-matching for degenerate DFG or SHG is realized. With decreasing phase-matching angle these branches separate and a single crossing point is reached (between \( \varphi = 50^\circ \) and \( 40^\circ \) in Fig. 16(b)] which corresponds to the single SHG solution in Fig. 14. For yet smaller angles no crossing occurs and the degeneracy point is not reached.

For all phase-matching angles presented we observe again a retracing behavior at longer \( \lambda_3 \); for each \( \lambda_3 \) two couples \( (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \) are phase-matched. At the point where these two pairs merge into one (at the maximum \( \lambda_3 \) permitting phase-matching) the waves at \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) have equal group velocities and similarly to the case discussed for Fig. 16(a) broadband parametric amplification in the field of a narrowband pump wave can be realized. The deviation from the pump group velocity remains, however, essential. Thus, e.g., at \( \varphi = 90^\circ \) and \( \lambda_3 = 4330 \text{ nm}, \Delta_{21} = 0 \) and \( \Delta_{31} = -\Delta_{32} = -1.57 \text{ ps/cm} \). Improved group-matching at this point with the pump wave at \( \lambda_3 \) occurs when decreasing the phase-matching angle \( \varphi \) in accordance with Fig. 14.

Comparing Fig. 16(b) to Fig. 16(a) we note that at relatively short \( \lambda_3 \) (e.g., 1064 nm) full tunability with a fixed crystal cut is achievable only in the X-Z principal plane. The group velocity mismatch depends on the specific wavelengths chosen. At \( \lambda_3 = 1064 \text{ nm} \) (a case interesting for parametric downconversion) \( \Delta_{21}(X-Z) < \Delta_{21}(X-Y) \) is fulfilled in the whole transparency range of LIISe. This means that type-II phase-matching in the X-Y plane is more advantageous for development of narrowband parametric generators or oscillators.
Our analysis of the phase-matching properties of LISe is just a first-order approximation because it was based on the small-signal limit where saturation is neglected. We considered only collinear interaction. Noncollinear interaction attracts recently great interest because in addition to the possibility to improve the tunability, to spatially separate the beams, and to compensate for the spatial walk-off, it can considerably reduce the group velocity mismatch [80]. The latter is very promising for short pulse interactions. Explicit expressions for noncollinear phase-matching in the principal planes of a biaxial crystal can be found in [81] and calculations of noncollinear group velocity matching for arbitrary wave vector directions in [82, 83]. Some phase-matching properties of the mixed compounds LiIn(S$_{1-x}$Se$_x$)$_2$ were calculated in [20, 21, 67, 68].

8. NONLINEAR SUSCEPTIBILITY

A. Second-Order Nonlinear Coefficients of LISe

The elements $d_{ij}$ of the nonlinear tensor have been determined from measurement of the SHG efficiency using either type-I or type-II interactions in the principal planes of LISe and also out of them. Since femtosecond pulses were applied for the SHG, the use of very thin oriented samples of LISe makes it possible to neglect the effects of absorption, beam walk-off, and focusing with the data analysis in the low-depletion limit derived from standard plane-wave SHG theory. That is why care was taken to keep the conversion efficiency low enough in order to avoid complications from saturation effects and spatial effects across the beam cross section.

The coefficient $d_{31}$ was estimated by type-I SHG in the X-Z plane from Eq. (16), once it was known it was used for the determination of $d_{24}$ from type-II SHG in the X-Y plane with Eq. (14) and assuming Kleinman symmetry to hold (i.e., $d_{15}=d_{31}$). Alternatively the plane Y-Z could be used for the determination of $d_{24}$; however, the spectral tunability is very narrow in this principal plane (see Fig. 14) which is not adequate for measurements with femtosecond pulses. A preliminary measurement of the two nonlinear coefficients $d_{31}$ and $d_{24}$ of LISe by such SHG with femtosecond pulses was presented in [29]. In order to improve the reliability and to extend the results also to $d_{33}$ we repeated it, performing all measurements simultaneously. The diagonal element $d_{33}$ was measured by type-I SHG outside the principal planes, as we previously did for LIS [12], using Eq. (11) and the already determined off-diagonal components of the $d^{(2)}$-tensor, again assuming Kleinman symmetry. The measurements were performed with three annealed LISe samples of yellow color simultaneously with three analogous samples of LIS [12] in order to obtain reliable information on the relative magnitude of the nonlinear coefficients.

All samples had an aperture of 4 mm × 5 mm and were 0.2 mm thick. The LISe samples were cut at $\varphi=0^\circ$ and $\theta=22^\circ$ LISe(1), $\varphi=77^\circ$ and $\theta=90^\circ$ LISe(2), and $\varphi=30^\circ$ and $\theta=27^\circ$ LISe(3). This measurement was relative and we used as a reference sample an AGS crystal with the same dimensions cut at $\varphi=45^\circ$ and $\theta=45^\circ$ for type-I SHG. In order to minimize the error originating from the still different GVM, the pulse width at the fundamental was chosen relatively large (160 fs in all cases) since LISe samples with thicknesses less than 0.2 mm could not be prepared with sufficient quality. This pulse width corresponds to spectral bandwidths of 80–90 nm. For $d_{33}$ of AGS we used the value of 13.9 pm/V [84] which was measured at a similar wavelength ($\lambda=2.53$ μm). In the plane-wave approximation and having in mind the equal fundamental energy and crystal thickness, $d_{\text{eff}}$ of LISe was determined simply from

$$d_{\text{eff}}^2(\text{LISe}) = \frac{E_{2\sigma}(\text{LISe})}{E_{2\sigma}(\text{AGS})} \frac{(1 - R(\text{AGS}))^2 n^2(\text{LISe})}{(1 - R(\text{AGS}))^2 n^2(\text{AGS})},$$

where $n^2$ is a product of the three refractive indices involved and $R$ takes into account the Fresnel reflections at the entrance surface (twice for the fundamental) and the exit surface (second harmonic).

The femtosecond source at 1 kHz repetition rate was a KTP-based OPA which was seeded by the frequency-doubled idler of a $\beta$-BaB$_2$O$_4$ (BBO)-based OPA, with both OPAs being pumped by the same 500 nm 40 fs pump source (Ti:sapphire regenerative amplifier). The 3 mm KTP used in the OPA ensured sufficiently long wavelengths that cannot be achieved by BBO. Seeding by the frequency-doubled idler of the BBO-OPA was preferred against seeding by the signal wavelength for two reasons: the signal wavelengths available from the BBO-OPA were not short enough to produce idler wavelengths above 2.5 μm with the KTP-OPA, and frequency doubling in a 2 mm thick BBO crystal of the idler used for seeding resulted in temporal broadening and spectral narrowing which was a prerequisite to improve the accuracy. SHG was performed at 2330 nm with LISe(1), 2850 nm with LISe(2), and 2300 nm for LISe(3). In all cases more than 5 μJ at the fundamental were available so that it was possible to reliably measure the SHG with a large area pyroelectric detector even at a low conversion efficiency. We established that up to a conversion efficiency of 20% (energy) into the second harmonic the result did not change. In the case of LISe(3) the polarization angle $\delta$ was adjusted by a He-Ne laser monitoring the polarization rotation by the leakage through an analyzer until an eigenmode was reached. No additional improvement of the SHG efficiency could be observed later by further alignment of this angle which was in good agreement with the calculated value from Eq. (13). Note that the dispersion of the optic axis angle $V_2$ (see Fig. 10) is relatively small and the deviation between visible (633 nm) and 2300 nm is about $10^\circ$ so that the error originating from that effect is estimated to be less than $\pm 15\%$. The following results are values of the $d^{(2)}$-tensor components scaled to $\lambda=2300$ nm by using Miller’s rule, i.e., assuming same wavelength dispersion of the second-order nonlinearity as for the linear susceptibility:

$$d_{31}(\text{LISe}) = 11.78 \text{ pm/V } \pm 5\%,$$

$$d_{24}(\text{LISe}) = 8.17 \text{ pm/V } \pm 10\%,$$

$$d_{33}(\text{LISe}) = 16 \text{ pm/V } \pm 25\%.$$
The coefficients $d_{31}$ and $d_{24}$ are roughly 10% and 30% higher than in our previous measurement [29] based on different reference materials (AGS and KTP), while $d_{33}$ is measured for the first time. Slightly higher values of $d_{31}$ = 12.2 pm/V and $d_{33}$ = 10.8 pm/V can be found in [20,52,66] but their origin is unspecified. In the present work we also confirmed the different sign of the diagonal element $d_{33}$ as known for LIS [12]. While the coefficients $d_{33}$ and $d_{23}$ are in the average ~50% higher than those of LIS [12], the difference between the sulfide and selenide compounds is much smaller if compared to AGS and AGSe [1,2]. The diagonal element $d_{33}$ remains almost unchanged after the substitution of sulfur by selenium [12]. The ratio of $d_{23}/d_{31}$ = 0.69 for LiSe means that, as for LIS [12], $d_{eff}$ depends only weakly on the azimuthal angle for propagation in the X-Y plane.

### B. Effective Nonlinearity of LISe

Once the nonlinear coefficients are determined the effective nonlinearity $d_{eff}$ can be easily calculated in the principal planes using Eqs. (12)–(14). As for LIS [12] the maximum $d_{eff}$ is achieved in the X-Y plane where it is wavelength (angle) independent because of the similar magnitude of $d_{24}$ and $d_{15}$. However, as already mentioned in Subsection 7.B, interesting effects can be expected for propagation outside the principal planes due to the high value of $d_{33}$.

Figure 17(a) shows the calculated $d_{eff}(\varphi, \theta)$ for SHG using Eqs. (11) and (12) with the nonlinearities obtained in the previous subsection and assuming for simplicity a constant $V_Z$ = 64° between the optic axes and the Z-principal axis (see Fig. 12) which for the wavelengths selected leads to only negligible errors. The fundamental wavelengths indicated in the figure correspond exactly to the phase-matching stereographic projections presented in Fig. 13 where the values for the polar angle $\theta$ for the limiting cases $\varphi$ = 0°, 90° can be seen. The curves in Fig. 19(a) start from the X-Z plane ($\varphi$ = 0°) and end at the X-Y plane ($\theta$ = 90°) or start from the X-Y plane ($\theta$ = 90°) and end at the Y-Z plane ($\varphi$ = 90°). As can be seen from the figure, for type-I SHG in the Hobden classes 9 and 10 (see Subsection 7.A and [72]) the improvement in $d_{eff}$ when propagating outside the principal planes is not significant. For Hobden class 11 the improvement is already significant and for Hobden class 13 it is definitely advantageous to use propagation outside the principal planes for maximum $d_{eff}$ in type-I SHG. In type-II SHG the curves in Fig. 17(a) have a different character and maximum $d_{eff}$ is achieved always in the X-Y plane which is advantageous as compared to type-II SHG in the Y-Z plane.

For various applications it is important to consider the downconversion into the mid-IR of high-power sources, e.g., lasers emitting at 1064 nm [Fig. 17(b)]. All curves start from the X-Z plane ($\varphi$ = 0°), where $d_{eff}$ for type-II interaction (dashed lines) vanishes, and end at the X-Y plane ($\theta$ = 90°), where $d_{eff}$ for type-I interaction (solid lines) vanishes. Calculations in the principal planes for the selected wavelengths indicate that the absolute negative extremum of the type-II $d_{eff}$ curves which occurs in the X-Y plane is larger than the extremum of the type-I curves in the X-Z plane. Hence the type-II interaction in the X-Y plane will be more efficient than the type-I interaction in the X-Z plane. However, propagation outside the principal planes allows one to reach a slightly larger maximum $-8 < d_{eff}(\theta, \varphi) < -9.6$ pm/V for the type-I interaction which can be advantageous since other parameters such as the spectral bandwidth can be better in the type-I interaction. We believe the potential for future applications of LiSe and LIS [12] in the mid-IR is related also to the utilization of propagation schemes outside the principal planes.

### 9. PARAMETRIC DOWNCONVERSION

Information on experimental realization of nonlinear optical conversion with LiSe is rather scarce. The first nonlinear optical process in LiSe was reported in 2001 [29]. The purpose of these SHG experiments in the X-Y and X-Z planes of LiSe, using femtosecond pulses between 2.1 and 2.8 $\mu$m, was to test the validity of the existing Sellmeier equations and for preliminary estimations of the nonlinearity. SHG at 9.26 and 9.55 $\mu$m was mentioned later in [20,51,53,85] but the phase-matching angle could not be reliably specified. More accurate information on SHG with red color LiSe in the 9.2–9.6 $\mu$m range was included in [52]. The CO2 laser SHG efficiency obtained with LiSe [51,52,66] shows that this selenide crystal cannot compete with AGSe for this important application: The SHG external efficiency obtained with a 6.2 mm long LiSe crystal using 33 ns long pulses was 2.6% in terms of the peak power and 1.5% in terms of energy for a peak pump intensity of 38.5 MW/cm² at 9.55 $\mu$m, and the ob-
tained second harmonic energy was 7.2 mJ; somewhat higher efficiency, 4.3% in terms of peak power, was reported at 9.26 μm.

In [9] the downconversion of femtosecond pulses from 820 nm to the mid-IR range up to 11 μm was demonstrated for the first time with yellow LiSe. In this work LiSe was compared to LIS (both samples were uncoated and cut for type-II phase-matching in the X-Y plane, annealed, and 3 mm thick). The OPA was pumped at 820 nm and cut for type-II phase-matching in the LiSe was compared to LIS (both samples were uncoated and thick sapphire plate by selecting ~10 nm wide spectral portions with the use of interference filters. Under identical pump and seed conditions, however, the performance of LiSe was inferior to that of LIS since the GVM in it is larger and the two-photon absorption (TPA) effect is rather strong. This is a good example of a situation where although the effective nonlinearity is higher, the performance of a given crystal is limited by other factors. In 2005 the first nanosecond OPO based on LiSe pumped at 1064 nm was demonstrated [69] but the tunability for the idler, 3.34–3.82 μm, did not extend beyond 5 μm. Here we will present recent results on two downconversion experiments performed with LiSe: DFG in the CW regime and extended nanosecond OPO operation, both of them utilizing its transparency range in the mid-IR.

A. Continuous-Wave Mid-IR DFG

The results of the CW DFG presented here were already partially used in the derivation of the accurate Sellmeier expansions in Subsection 6.A. Such CW DFG, when both light sources have narrow (<1 MHz) linewidths, is most suited for high-resolution spectroscopy in the mid-IR range where powers of the order of 1 μW are sufficient to perform sub-Doppler spectroscopy of heavy atmospheric molecules.

Two tunable (from 700 to 810 nm and from 800 to 900 nm) CW single-frequency Ti:sapphire lasers were used in the present experiment as DFG sources (Fig. 18). The laser beams, orthogonally polarized, were collinearly focused onto the crystal with a 35 cm focal length lens, yielding a nearly optimal waist of w_{3,2}=55 μm for both pump (ω_3, k_3=ω_3n_3/c) and signal (ω_2, k_2=ω_2n_2/c) wavelengths (λ_3<λ_2). The resulting internal pump and signal wave Rayleigh lengths are z_3=k_3w_3^2/2=31 mm and z_2=k_2w_2^2/2=28 mm, corresponding to nearly equal confocal parameters (ECP) and quasi-plane-wave cylindrical focusing conditions with respective focusing parameters l_{3,2}=l_c/z_{3,2} (l_c denotes the physical crystal length). The input beams are blocked behind the LiSe sample by a 1 mm thick uncoated germanium (Ge) filter, and the mid-IR idler radiation (ω_1=ω_3−ω_2, k_1=ω_1n_1/c) was detected by a calibrated liquid-N₂-cooled HgCdTe photoconductive detector fed to a lock-in-amplifier. The LiSe sample studied was a l_e=9 mm long uncoated crystal of greenish color with an aperture of 6 mm ×7 mm, cut at φ=55° in the X-Y plane for type-II co-c interaction. An uncoated sample of LIS (yellowish in color, l_e=10 mm, with dimensions 5 mm ×5 mm ×10 mm, cut at φ=42° in the X-Y plane) served as a reference. In both cases the pump e-wave walkoff angle p_{31} translates into an undimensional walkoff parameter B=\rho_3(k_3−k_2)l_e^{1/2}/2 while the DFG degeneracy parameter is defined by μ=k_3/k_2 [86]. For LiSe, ρ_{31}=1.051° (18.34 mrad), B=1.26, and μ=0.898 while for LIS the DFG process is characterized by ρ_{31}=0.97° (16.92 mrad), B=1.19, and μ=0.892.

In a first step, we compared the mid-IR tuning range of LIS and LiSe obtained by setting the crystal at normal incidence while tuning simultaneously the pump and signal wavelengths so as to keep Δk=k_3−k_2−k_1=0 [Fig. 19(a)]. The tuning ranges that were covered with LIS and LiSe in the mid-IR were 6.6–7 μm and 6.2–6.8 μm, respectively. These ranges were then extended by angle tuning to 5.5–11.3 μm for LIS and to 5.9–8.1 μm for LiSe [Fig. 19(b)]. In these cases λ_3 was fixed at ~836 nm (LIS) and ~807 nm (LiSe) and only the input wave at λ_3 was varied. The data presented in Fig. 19(b) correspond to incidence angles in the ~20° to +20° range. As could be expected the agreement with the calculated phase-matching curves is excellent because the same experimental data were used in the corresponding Sellmeier sets refinement.
procedures. It is obvious from Fig. 19(b) that LIS provides a broader tunability range, and longer wavelengths in the mid-IR can be achieved with the given laser sources [87].

The DFG conversion efficiencies recorded at normal incidence and under identical focusing conditions are plotted in Fig. 20 versus the input power product \( P_1 P_2 \), showing that the conversion efficiency obtained with LISe is higher. The external slope efficiency obtained with LISe was \( \Gamma_{\text{exp}} = P_1/(P_2 P_3) = 2.7 \, \mu W/W^2 \) (\( \Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 2.4 \, \mu W/W^2 \) for LIS). When corrected for all losses (crystal output facet \( R-15\% \) and input facet \( R-16.5\% \) for each of the input beams), the above conversion efficiency must be multiplied by a factor of \( \sim 1.7 \), yielding a net \( \Gamma_{\text{net}} = \Gamma_{\text{exp}}/0.59 \sim 4.6 \, \mu W/W^2 \) for LISe.

According to the generalized Gaussian beam theory of depleted DFG Vaidya [69], and taking into account the effect of diffraction, walk-off, material absorption coefficients \( \alpha_i \) (\( i=1,2,3 \)), and arbitrary pump/signal waists \( w_{3,2} \), as well as the crystal thickness \( t_{c} \), measured from the crystal input facet \( 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \), \( \eta = 0.5 \) corresponding to waists location at the crystal center when \( \alpha_i = 0 \), the type-II (co-co) DFG power conversion efficiency \( \Gamma = P_1/(P_2 P_3) \) under the focused beam express as

\[
\Gamma = K \exp(-\alpha_i w_i^2) h(a, l, f, \sigma),
\]

\[
h(a, l, f, \sigma) = \frac{k_m}{2f} \int_{-f}^{f} \exp\left[-a(\tau + \tau') + 2l + i(\sigma \tau - \sigma \tau')\right] \frac{Q(\tau) Q(\tau') F_\beta(\tau, \tau')}{(1 + \iota \kappa \tau) Q(\tau) + (1 - \iota \kappa \tau) Q(\tau')}. \tag{23}
\]

The generalized DFG aperture function (24), when applied for a type-I (co-e) interaction and ECPs for both pump and signal waists \( l_{3,2} = l_{2,3} \), reduces to the type-I ECP expression derived by Chu and Broyer [88] as demonstrated in [84]. In Eq. (23), \( K = 8 \alpha_0 d_{\text{eff}}^2 \pi \omega_0^2 n_{\text{r}} n_{\text{g}} g_{\text{th}} \), \( a = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \omega_0^2 / 2L \), \( f = l_{3,2} \omega_0 / 2L \), \( K_\beta = (\omega_3 + \omega_2 + \omega_1)/(1 - \mu) \) is an effective focusing parameter derived from the individual focusing parameters \( l_{3,2} = l_{2,3} \), \( f = l_{3,2} / \omega_0 = \eta_k \), and \( \sigma = \Delta k_\beta \) is the normalized wavevector mismatch \( \Delta k = k_3 - k_2 - k_1 \). In the expression of the DFG Gaussian aperture (or focusing) function \( h \) [Eq. (24)], \( K_\beta = [(k_3 - k_2) / (k_3 z_3 + k_2 z_2)]^2 z_3 z_2 \) and the function \( Q(\tau) \) as well as the walkoff function \( F_\beta \) are given explicitly in [86] \( (\beta = p_\beta^2 \omega^2 / 2L = \beta / \omega^2 = \text{walkoff angle scaled to the DFG effective polarization-wave divergence}) \. Equations (23) and (24) can be in principle used for an absolute determination of the nonlinear effective coefficient \( d_{\text{eff}} \) of LISe (which is contained in the constant \( K \). Indeed numerically optimizing the aperture function \( h \) over \( \sigma \) and \( \eta \) while assuming \( \alpha_1 = 0.12 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \), \( \alpha_2 = 0.08 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \), \( \alpha_3 = 0.04 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \) (from the LISe transmission spectra shown in Fig. 3) at \( \lambda_3 = 721 \, \text{nm} \), \( \lambda_2 = 807 \, \text{nm} \), \( \lambda_1 = 6.74 \, \mu \text{m} \) yields \( h_{\text{opt}} = 0.1487 \times 10^{-2} \) with \( \sigma_{\text{opt}} = 0.26 \) and \( \eta_{\text{opt}} = 0.48 \) for the experimental waists \( w_{3,2} = 55 \, \mu \text{m} \) (\( l_3 = 0.289 \), \( l_2 = 0.322 \)). The fact that the optimal value of \( \sigma = \Delta k_\beta \) is not strictly zero is a common feature of Gaussian beam diffraction and walkoff effects in parametric interaction. Despite the strong pump absorption, the optimal relative waist location \( \eta \) derived from the numerical optimization algorithm of \( h \) is actually close to but slightly ahead of the crystal center (\( \eta = 0.5 \)) because pump and signal absorption exceed by far the idler absorption. Let us note that when the DFG focusing function \( h \) is optimized with respect to the two individual pump focusing parameters \( l_3, l_2 \) to find the absolute optimum waists \( w_{3,2} \), one arrives at \( w_{3,2} = 39 \, \mu \text{m} \) \( (l_3 = 0.575) \) and \( w_{2,3} = 46 \, \mu \text{m} \) \( (l_2 = 0.462) \) with \( h_{\text{opt}} = 0.1603 \times 10^{-2} = 1.076 h_{\text{opt}} \), meaning that despite the slightly larger experimental waist \( w_{3,2} = 55 \, \mu \text{m} \), the focusing condition is nearly optimum in terms of the aperture function.

Using the calculated \( h_{\text{opt}} \) in Eq. (23) and the corrected \( \Gamma_{\text{net}} = 4.6 \, \mu W/W^2 \) value, one derives then \( d_{\text{eff}}^2 = d_{\text{th}} \cos \phi + d_{15} \cos \phi - \cos \phi \approx 6 \, \text{pm} / \text{V} \approx 30 \), while the value expected from Eq. (14) and the nonlinear tensor elements derived from SHG at 2300 nm [Eqs. (20) and (21)] would yield \( d_{\text{eff}}^2 = 9.35 \, \text{pm} / \text{V} \). The discrepancy originates from the uncertainties in the spatial overlap of the pump waists inside the sample, possible fluctuation of the absorption coefficients for the specific LISe sample used, and possibly also from the implicit theoretical assumption that the walkoff angle at \( \lambda_3 \) is identical to that at \( \lambda_2 \), which is not strictly the case due to normal dispersion of LISe.

The DFG nonlinear coefficient of LISe can also be derived as a relative measurement with respect to LIS (taken as a reference material), by taking the experimental ratio \( \Gamma_{\text{LIS}} / \Gamma_{\text{LIS}} = 1.12 \) from Fig. 20. Relative measurements are expected to be less subject to the above experimental uncertainties; all more than the refractive indices of LISe and LIS are quite close. If one assumes nearly identical absorption loss at \( \lambda_3 \) for LIS and LISe and nearly identical indices of refraction, Eqs. (23) and (24) lead to

\[
\Gamma_{\text{LIS}} = \left[ \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3} \right]_{\text{LIS}} \left[ \frac{d_{2}^2 \text{eff}_{\text{LIS}} \text{h}(B)}{d_{2}^2 \text{eff}_{\text{LIS}} \text{h}(B)} \right]_{\text{LIS}}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{\text{LIS}} = \left[ \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3} \right]_{\text{LIS}} \left[ \frac{d_{2}^2 \text{eff}_{\text{LIS}} \text{h}(B)}{d_{2}^2 \text{eff}_{\text{LIS}} \text{h}(B)} \right]_{\text{LIS}} = 0.84 \frac{[d_{2}^2 \text{eff}_{\text{LIS}} \text{h}(B)]_{\text{LIS}}}{[d_{2}^2 \text{eff}_{\text{LIS}} \text{h}(B)]_{\text{LIS}}}. \tag{25}
\]

The last factor in Eq. (25) takes into account the different walkoff parameters \( B = 1.26 \) for LISe and \( B = 1.19 \) for

![Fig. 20. Dependence of the DFG power at normal incidence \( (\lambda = 6.7 \, \mu \text{m}) \) produced by LISe \( (l_1 = 9 \, \text{mm}, B = 1.26; \text{circles and solid line}) \) and LIS \( (l_1 = 10 \, \text{mm}, B = 1.19; \text{squares and dashed line}) \) versus input power and corresponding linear fits for the external slope efficiencies. The conversion efficiencies are corrected only for the uncoated Ge filter transmission loss.

LIS in the evaluation of the focusing functions \( h(B) \), yielding \( \left[ h(B) \right]_{\text{LIS}} = 0.1487 \times 10^{-2} /0.1926 \times 10^{-2} = 0.772 \). It can be concluded from Eq. (25) that \( d_{\text{eff}}(\text{LIS}) = 1.32 d_{\text{eff}}(\text{LIS}) \). This is in fair agreement with the estimated ratio from Eqs. (20) and (21) and [8,12] which is \( d_{\text{eff}}(\text{LIS}) = (1.35 - 1.43) d_{\text{eff}}(\text{LIS}) \). Using the nonlinear tensor elements for LIS determined in [8,12] which yield \( d_{\text{eff}}(\phi = 42°) \) in LIS = 6.54 pm/V (±30%), the effective nonlinear coefficient of LIS determined by this relative measurement is \( d_{\text{eff}}(\phi = 55°) = 8.63 \text{ pm/V (±30%)}, \) much closer to \( d_{\text{eff}} = 9.35 \text{ pm/V as calculated with the tensor elements determined from SHG in Eqs. (20) and (21). The slight deviation can be attributed to the slightly larger index of refraction of LIS which was not taken into account above.}

The DFG spectral tuning characteristics in the case of LIS (at normal incidence) are shown in Fig. 21(a) with a thick solid line. In this case, the fixed wavelength was \( \lambda_2 = 807.2 \text{ nm and } \lambda_3 = 721 \text{ nm. The angular DFG acceptance curves (at fixed } \lambda_3 = 721 \text{ nm and } \lambda_2 = 807.2 \text{ nm) are plotted in Fig. 21(b). In both figure parts, the dashed lines are the calculated plane-wave \( [\text{proportional to } \sin^2(\Delta k_{\text{z}}/2)] \) acceptance curves.}

The fact that the experimental acceptance bandwidths are broader than the bandwidths calculated with the simple plane-wave formula \( [\Delta \varphi = 0.13° \text{ in Fig. 21(b)}] \) can be attributed to the effects of spatial walk-off and focusing which reduce the actual interaction lengths. The thin solid lines are the Gaussian beam spectral and angular acceptance curves computed using \( h(\sigma) \) [Eq. (24)], where in the normalized phase-mismatch parameter \( \sigma = \Delta k_{\text{z}} d_{\text{eff}}/d_{\text{eff}}(\lambda_3, \varphi) \) has been evaluated using the Sellmeier dispersion relation of LIS [Eqs. (7)]. In computing \( \Delta k(\lambda_3, \lambda_1) \) for the spectral acceptance, the energy conservation was taken into account \( (\omega_3 = \omega_2 + \omega_1) \). Note that the slight asymmetry observed in the Gaussian-wave acceptance curves \( h(\sigma) \) in Fig. 21 is due to the combined effect of focusing and beam walk-off [86].

B. Pulsed Nanosecond OPO

At practical pump intensities, most of the chalcogenide mid-IR nonlinear crystals will suffer TPA under pulsed pumping at 1064 nm because of their low bandgap. In fact, there are only few candidates for such downconversion devices pumped near 1064 nm, the properties of which were compared in [89] taking into account the TPA, residual absorption, birefringence, effective nonlinearity, thermal conductivity, and limitations related to the growth, availability, and some opto-mechanical properties. The most impressive nanosecond OPO results so far have been achieved with AGS, for which idler tunability from 3.9 to 11.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) was demonstrated [90]. CSP is also a very promising candidate for such an OPO [3] but a practical upper limit of 6.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) for the idler wavelength is set for it by intrinsic multi-phonon absorption: This defines a potentially interesting spectral range of only 4 – 6.5 \( \mu \text{m} \). The interest in LISe is motivated by its superior thermo-mechanical properties in comparison to AGS: isotropic expansion, thermal conductivity, and smaller thermo-optic coefficients as well as higher damage threshold, which are important for average power scaling.

The experimental setup with the OPO cavity is shown in Fig. 22 [91]. It consisted of two plane mirrors with a

Fig. 21. (a) Measured (thick solid line) and calculated by plane-wave model (dashed line) phase-matching characteristics for mid-IR DFG with LIS when tuning only one of the input wavelengths at a fixed phase-matching angle. Water-vapor absorption lines are observed since the path to the detector was not evacuated. The thin solid line is the result of the Gaussian beam theory; (b) Angular acceptance of DFG near \( \lambda_3 = 6.7 \mu \text{m} \). The symbols show the experimental data, the dashed line shows the plane-wave model, and the thin solid line shows the Gaussian beam theoretical \( h(\sigma) \) normalized pattern.

Fig. 22. (Color online) (a) Experimental setup of the LISe OPO: \( \lambda/2 \), half-wave plate; P, polarizer; S, mechanical shutter; F, 2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) cut-on filter; L, 10 cm MgF_2 lens; D, diaphragm; BM, bending mirror; OC, output coupler; TR, total reflector. (b) Photograph of the compact OPO setup.
was antireflection (AR)-coated with a single layer of YF₃.

The second sample (B) was cut at \( \phi = 34^\circ \) for the idler wavelength of \( \sim 8.8 \, \mu m \) at normal incidence. It had an aperture of 5 mm (along the Z-axis) \( \times 7 \, mm \) and a length of 24.5 mm. This sample was AR-coated with the same single layer for high transmission at 1064 nm and in the 1.15–1.35 \( \mu m \) signal range. However, due to some failure in the coating process, the difference in the residual reflectivity of the two surfaces was more pronounced. One surface had substantially higher (5\% at 1064 nm and 4.5\% at 1600 nm) reflection than the other (1\% at 1064 nm and <1\% at 1600 nm). From the measured transmission of 67.7\% at 1064 nm and 82\% at 1600 nm, we estimated for sample B effective absorption (including scatter) of 14\%/cm at 1064 nm and 6\%/cm at 1600 nm, which are very similar to the estimates for sample A.

We studied the input/output characteristics of the OPO, including the oscillation threshold, at normal incidence and minimum possible cavity length. The tuning curve required tilting of the crystal and the corresponding cavity length was slightly increased.

The thresholds measured for cavity lengths of 18.5 mm (sample A) and 25.5 mm (sample B) amounted to 6.8 and 7.9 mJ energies incident on the crystals, respectively. These values correspond to average fluences of 0.06 and 0.07 J/cm² or pump intensities of 4.3 and 5 MW/cm². The peak on-axis values for the fluence and the intensity are two times higher. The threshold can be calculated using Brosnan and Byer’s formula [92] for a singly resonant OPO with recycled pump. We used the exact experimental parameters, correcting for the pump beam absorption after the first pass and assuming equal (averaged for signal and idler) absorption of 5\%/cm⁻¹ for the resonated wave. From the nonlinear coefficients of LISe, rescaled using Miller’s rule, we calculated effective nonlinearities of \( d_{eff} = 10.6 \, pm/V \) (\( \phi = 41.6^\circ \), sample A) and 11 pm/V (\( \phi = 34^\circ \), sample B) (see Table 1). The results for the threshold pump fluences were 0.23 and 0.15 J/cm² for samples A and B, respectively. These values correlate better with the experimental peak (on-axial) values which can be explained by the fact that oscillation starts in the central part of the pump beam. Deviations and the fact that sample B had in fact a higher oscillation threshold than sample A may have several reasons: the losses at the exact signal and idler wavelengths were unknown and we interpolated them to 6\%/cm⁻¹ at the signal and assumed 4\%/cm⁻¹ at the idler wavelength in accordance with [69]; eventually these losses, in particular for sample B, could be higher. Besides, for the pump, the residual reflections at the crystal faces were neglected; and finally the partial resonance of the idler is not taken into account by the theory. Having in mind all such assumptions, the correspondence between theory and experiment can be considered as satisfactory.

At a pump level of about two times the pump threshold we investigated the dependence of the output power on the repetition rate in the range 10–100 Hz. Fluctuations were within the experimental error and we conclude that there is no such dependence. This result was rather unexpected since the present samples had in fact larger residual absorption than the one used in the initial work [69] at shorter idler wavelengths. This fact can be explained by the weaker thermal lensing in the case of larger beam sizes. The measurements were performed at 100 Hz with the shutter removed [Fig. 22a].

The input/output characteristics for the two samples are shown in Fig. 23a. Maximum energies of 282 \( \mu J \) at 6.514 \( \mu m \) and 116 \( \mu J \) at 8.428 \( \mu m \) were measured. These values correspond to external quantum conversion effi-
The tuning curves [Fig. 23(b)] were recorded by lengthening the cavity to 20.5 mm (sample A) and 27.5 mm (sample B) and tilting the crystals in the critical plane (rotation about the z-axis). The pump energies were 11.8 mJ for sample A and 16.9 mJ for sample B. Note that the upper limit of the tunability is determined by the LISe absorption which, for such sample lengths, sets on from about 8 μm, and is not related to the optics used (the thin MgF₂ lens was substituted by a BaF₂ lens to check this).

Thus we showed that the full transparency range of LISe can be utilized using an OPO pumped at 1064 nm; however, in order to extend the tunability up to 12 μm and more, shorter crystal samples will be needed in addition to reduced residual crystal loss. This in turn means that the OPO should be operated at higher pump levels which will require solution of the surface damage problem. The average power obtained with LISe (28 mW) substantially exceeds the levels achieved with AGS (3.7 mW in [90] and CSP (9.1 mW in [3]) at similar wavelengths but at lower repetition rates.

10. LASER-INDUCED DAMAGE IN LISe

Surface damage of LISe was observed with both OPO active elements and studied also with four 1 mm thick plates, cut in the same direction as sample B. Two of these plates were only polished and the other two had AR-coating on one of their faces only. The results of the extracavity damage tests at 1064 nm using the same pump source without focusing can be summarized as follows.

For uncoated and AR-coated LISe plates, complete damage (surface and crack) occurs for 14 ns long pulses at 1064 nm and 100 Hz within seconds/minutes for 44–52 mJ incident energy, with on-axis fluence of 0.78–0.92 J/cm² (56–66 MW/cm² peak on-axis pump intensity). In several cases irreversible whitened spots (surface deterioration) were observed starting from 29 mJ, corresponding to an on-axis fluence of 0.5 J/cm² or a peak on-axis intensity of 36 MW/cm². This is the minimum fluence value for which such kind of damage was observed, and there is obvious dependence on the position and the sample. For increasing pump levels, the whiter spots changed slightly in diameter and preserved their color, until complete damage occurred. The whiter spots appear on uncoated surfaces; however, they occur also on AR-coated surfaces, presumably beneath the layer. In most of the cases they occurred at higher pump energies and in one of the uncoated test plates they did not occur at all, until complete damage was observed at 52 mJ [Fig. 24(b)], which seems to indicate that this effect is related to the polishing/coating procedure since all samples were from the same LISe boule.

In principle the AR-coating applied seems to be sufficiently resistant for the OPO operation and in some cases the surface damage threshold was higher for the AR-coated surface. But the quality of the coating is not reproducible as evidenced in the OPO experiment, in which always one of the surfaces (the one with higher residual reflection) got damaged at lower pump levels, independent of whether it was a front or rear surface with respect to the pump.

The lowest pump level at which surface damage to the OPO sample A in the form of whitened spots on the coating (or beneath it) was observed was a peak on-axial
pump intensity of 16 MW/cm². This corresponds to operation roughly two times above the threshold and strong signal field is already present in the cavity. By translating the sample in the transversal direction, we observed several times the same kind of damage to the same surface, the one with higher residual reflectivity of the AR-coating, independent of the orientation of the sample with respect to the pump beam (entrance or exit surface). No damage occurred to the other surface of the sample.

The same sample A was studied for damage also extracavity using the same pump source and fresh positions. The tests were performed for 60 s (6000 shots). Again the same surface had a low damage threshold although it was always an exit surface. The damage threshold for the occurrence of similar whitened spots was 23 MW/cm². Full damage to this surface [coating destroyed and crater appeared; see Fig. 25(a)] occurred at 45 MW/cm². At this same intensity level, the first whitened spot on the other surface (the one with lower residual reflectivity) was observed.

It can be only speculated why damage of the two OPO samples in the form of whiter surface spot occurred at much lower pump energy (10–15 mJ) when inside the cavity. Nevertheless, the presence of such spots did not affect the OPO performance until the damage developed further to the other surface of the sample.

The tests were performed for 60 s (6000 shots). Again the same kind of damage to the same surface occurred at 45 MW/cm². At this same intensity level, the first whitened spot on the other surface (the one with lower residual reflectivity) was observed.

It can be only speculated why damage of the two OPO samples in the form of whiter surface spot occurred at much lower pump energy (10–15 mJ) when inside the cavity. Nevertheless, the presence of such spots did not affect the OPO performance until the damage developed further as can be seen from Fig. 23(a). Complete damage was also observed at lower levels (15–20 mJ) inside the cavity but could be a consequence of already existing whiter spot. There are two possibilities: either contribution from the resonated signal wave (as reported for other crystals [90]) or simply low quality of this AR-coating. Experiments outside the cavity indicated lower damage of the surface with higher residual reflection, also lower threshold for whitening, so it seems more probable that this surface was simply of lower quality and that is why it got damaged although it was not always the front surface in the OPO. The different damage resistivities of the two surfaces could be attributed to the fact that after AR-coating one of the surfaces, the other one was not repolished. In the future we plan to polish the two crystal faces independently prior to their coating. Comparing the results with coated and uncoated surfaces one can expect that, for the present quality of the grown material, optimization of the AR-coating process could allow the safe use of peak on-axis intensities of about 50 MW/cm² or incident pump energy of roughly 40 mJ. Once the problem with the reproducibility of the AR-coating is solved one can expect substantial improvement of the OPO performance.

For other types of OPOs pumped by mode-locked lasers near 1 μm (synchronously pumped OPOs) which operate at ~100 MHz repetition rates, it is essential to know the CW optical damage threshold of LiSe. To this aim we tested one of the uncoated 1 mm thick plates with a CW Nd:YVO₄ laser operating at 1064 nm. With a 30 mm best shape lens we measured a waist diameter in the focus of 2\(w_0=18\) μm and estimated \(M^2=1.6\). The maximum power applied, measured after the lens, was 8.5 W which gives an average intensity of 3.3 MW/cm² in the focus. By translating the plate through the focus and averaging two tests we estimated then a damage threshold of ~6 MW/cm² in terms of the peak on-axis intensity. The bulk damage was in the form of dark spots inside the sample.

In the mid-IR, the optical damage of LiSe has been characterized by others with 30 ns long single pulses at 9.55 μm, with the result of 241–248 MW/cm² [20,52]. Using femtosecond pulses at 820 nm (220 fs, 1 kHz), the first damage phenomenon, partially reversible, was gray track formation in the bulk at about 55 GW/cm² [9], in contrast to the nanosecond OPO experiments in which such an effect was not observed at 1064 nm [91].

TPA was measured below this intensity, as shown in Fig. 26, for a 3 mm thick yellow LiSe sample (\(\varphi=52^\circ\) cut in the X-Y plane). The experimental data were averaged for the two possible polarization orientations and then fitted assuming Gaussian temporal and spatial beam profiles. Taking into account a linear absorption of 0.5 cm⁻¹ at this wavelength, the result for the TPA coefficient was 0.6 cm/GW.

![Fig. 25](image_url) (Color online) (a) Lower-reflecting (left) and higher-reflecting (right) surface of the damaged LiSe OPO element and (b) 12 microscope images of the severely damaged higher-reflecting surface combined into a single picture.

![Fig. 26](image_url) TPA in LiSe: experimental data and theoretical fit.
11. MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATIONS OF LISe AND SUMMARY OF PROPERTIES

Finally, we would like to outline that the epitaxial growth of LISe films was demonstrated on Si, GaAs, GaP, and CaF₂ [93–97]. In [98] electroluminescence from LISe–GaP heterodiodes (p-type LISe-film on n-type GaP) was observed near 610 nm. The system Cu₁₋ₓLiₓInSe₂ which has also been studied [17,99–101], thin films of it were deposited on GaAs [102] and their optical properties were investigated [103]. Narrowband terahertz emission from LISe has been observed in [104] under femtosecond excitation at 1560 nm. Table 6 summarizes the known properties of LISe, a great part of them characterized in the present work.

12. CONCLUSION

We have characterized the main physical properties of the newly emerging lithium selenoindate chalcogenide semiconductor that are relevant for nonlinear applications from the visible up to the deep mid-IR. Table 6 summarizes these properties, as well as those determined in previous works. LiInSe₂ (LISe) can now be considered as a mature compound, and its future widespread use in nonlinear devices should refine all the data collected from this investigation campaign. Among its most relevant advantages over the existing mid-IR crystals, one may quote its excellent thermal stability and high damage threshold suitable for high-power laser applications and its extremely extended phase-matching capabilities (over its whole transparency range) using either type-I or type-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallographic Data</th>
<th>Wurtzite-type (β-NaFeO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>Orthorhombic, mm2 (negative biaxial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry, point group</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>α = 7.2 Å, b = 8.4 Å, c = 6.8 Å, Z = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice parameters</td>
<td>(X, Y, Z) = (b, a, c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal axis assignment</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microhardness (GPa)</td>
<td>0.54–10 μm (50% level for 10 mm thickness), 0.5–13 μm (“0” level)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optical Properties

- Optical transmission
- Bandgap at 300 K (eV)
- Indices of refraction at 300 K:
  - λ = 632.8 nm
  - λ = 1.064 μm
  - λ = 10.6 μm
- Optical axis angles (0.65 μm < λ < 12 μm)
- Birefringence walk-off at 1064 nm (mrad)
- SHG fundamental wavelength range (nm)
- Thermo-optic coefficients (10⁻⁵/°C) at 1064 nm, 300 K
- Absorption coefficient at 1.064 μm (cm⁻¹)
- Laser damage threshold:
  - 1064 nm, 10 ns, 10 Hz (GW/cm²), surface damage
  - 820 nm, 220 fs, 1 kHz (GW/cm²), gray tracks
  - 9.55 μm, 30 ns, single pulse (GW/cm²)
  - 1064 nm, CW (GW/cm²)
- TPA at 0.82 μm (cm/GW), 220 fs
- Nonlinear optical coefficients (pm/V) at 2.3 μm (fundamental)
  - d₁₁ = 11.78 ± 5%, d₂₄ = 8.17 ± 10%, d₃₃ = −16 ± 25%

Thermal Properties

- Melting point (°C)
- Thermal expansion at 300 K (10⁻⁵/K)
- Specific heat at 300 K [J/mol K]
- Thermal conductivity at 300 K [W/m K]

Electrical Properties

- Pyroelectric coefficient at 300 K (μC/cm² K)
- Pure electro-optic coefficients (relative to LiInSe₂)
- Electric conductivity at 300 K (10⁻¹² Ω⁻¹ cm⁻¹)

Table 6. Summary of Known Crystallographic, Optical, Thermal, and Electrical Properties of LISe

\[ \text{d} \text{X}/\text{d}T = 5.676, \ \text{d} \text{Y}/\text{d}T = 9.339, \ \text{d} \text{Z}/\text{d}T = 7.368 \]

\[ \text{dn} \text{X}/\text{dT} = 0.006, \ \text{dn} \text{Y}/\text{dT} = 0.04, \ \text{dn} \text{Z} = -0.25 \]

\[ \text{dn} \text{X}/\text{d}T = 2% \]

\[ \text{d} \text{X} = 1.76 ± 0.18, \ \text{d} \text{Y} = 1.1 ± 0.16, \ \text{d} \text{Z} = 0.87 ± 0.11 \]

\[ \text{K} \text{X} = 4.7 ± 0.2, \ \text{K} \text{Y} = 4.7 ± 0.2, \ \text{K} \text{Z} = 5.5 ± 0.3 \]

\[ \text{r} \text{X} (\text{LISe}) = 2.1 \text{r} \text{X} (\text{LiInSe}_2), \ \text{r} \text{Z} (\text{LISe}) = 2.6 \text{r} \text{Z} (\text{LiInSe}_2) \]

\[ \sigma \text{X} = 3, \ \sigma \text{Y} = 0.88, \ \sigma \text{Z} = 0.02 \]

\[ \text{From averaging of ten dynamic indentation measurements with 1 μm indentation depth performed on a } \theta = 90°, \varphi = 34° \text{ oriented sample.} \]
interactions in or out of principal planes. Such an extended phase-matching capability is still compatible with low birefringence walkoff angles. LISe exhibits effective nonlinearity higher than that of the related LIS and comparable to that of AGS. Taking into account its similar transparency range, LISe seems to be a good candidate for 1.064 μm pumped mid-IR OPO operation with the advantages of higher thermal conductivity and damage threshold and such results were presented in the present study.
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